Requirements	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3
Low-cost calibration	No	Yes	Yes
Dynamic conditions	Suitable for low-frequency vibration	Suitable for low-frequency vibration	Suitable for low-frequency vibration
Traceability	Close similarity to the stan- dard method	After comparison with Method 1	After comparison with Method 1
On-line and in-line calibration	Analysis based on the fre- quency amplitude evalua- tion	Analysis based on the frequency amplitude evaluation	High statistical robustness based on a point-by-point comparison. More an- gular positions are required, but they could be anything. The vibration mo- tion law can be set according to the specific application (not necessary sinu- soidal).
Simplicity and operability	Possibility of measur- ing (main) sensitivities, transverse sensitivities. Working with a sinusoidal motion law parallel to each measuring axis under examination. No effect of transverse sensitivity on the calculation of sensitivity.	Possibility of simultaneous measur- ing of the (main) sensitivities. The measuring axes do not correspond to the motion direction. Fixed an- gular positioning. Working with a sinusoidal motion law. The effect of transverse sensitivities cannot be evaluated.	Possibility of measuring (main) sensi- tivities, transverse sensitivities and off- set. The measuring axes do not corre- spond to the motion direction. More an- gular positions are required, but they could be whatever. The vibration mo- tion law can be set according to the specific application (not necessary sinu- soidal).